EN3595: ENGLISH IN SOCIETY

Effective Term
Semester B 2023/24

Part I Course Overview

Course Title
English in Society

Subject Code
EN - English
Course Number
3595

Academic Unit
English (EN)

College/School
College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences (CH)

Course Duration
One Semester

Credit Units
3

Level
B1, B2, B3, B4 - Bachelor's Degree

Medium of Instruction
English

Medium of Assessment
English

Prerequisites
Nil

Precursors
Nil

Equivalent Courses
EN2719 English in Society

Exclusive Courses
GE3110 English in Society

Part II Course Details

Abstract
This course aims to introduce students to a systematic description of the use of the English language in society and the skills needed to apply their knowledge to the analysis of authentic language data from a sociolinguistic perspective. Under the

guidance of the instructor, students will discover the patterns of authentic language use in real-life situations and present their findings in innovative and stylistically appropriate ways.

Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

	CILOs	Weighting (if app.)	DEC-A1	DEC-A2	DEC-A3
1	Discover the sociolinguistic aspects of English language use in society;		x		
2	Identify sociolinguistic processes of dialect variation, diachronic change, and code-switching in the English language;		x		
3	Compare different varieties of world Englishes;		x		
4	Compare and contrast theories and methods in sociolinguistics;			x	
5	Critically apply the knowledge learnt in the course to analyse authentic language data in everyday life;			x	
6	Critically apply the knowledge learnt in the course to reflect on the ways in which the English language is used in different modes of communication;			x	
7	Critically apply the knowledge learnt in the course to reflect on the ways in which English language use relates to identities (e.g., gendered, sexual, class, national, etc.)			x	

A1: Attitude
Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability
Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to real-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments
Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)

	TLAs	Brief Description	CILO No.	Hours/week (if applicable)
1		Interactive Lecturing Students will explore the different systems of English language use in society; Students will, by responding to questions and doing research, develop their abilities to reflect on the different aspects of English language use in society.	1	3 hours/week
2		In-class Activities and Discussions Students will reflect on the issues related to processes of dialect variation, diachronic change, and code-switching in the English language; Students will, by responding to questions and doing research, generate the similarities and differences between different Englishes.	2, 3	3 hours/week
3		Language Analysis Students will be given authentic language data to experiment with the ways in which their knowledge of language use can be applied to perform analysis, descriptions and explanations; Students will, by responding to questions and doing research, develop their abilities to evaluate identity performances through language analysis.	4, 5, 6, 7	3 hours/week

Assessment Tasks / Activities (ATs)

	ATs	CILO No.	Weighting (%)	Remarks (e.g. Parameter for GenAI use)
1	Participation	1, 2, 3, 4	10	Individual: Based on how frequently, actively, and constructively students participate in class and online after-class discussion. In-class and online after class activities measure students' ability to apply newly learned principles to real contexts and situations.
2	Group Project	1, 4, 5, 6, 7	30	Group work: Students will have the opportunity to apply their knowledge to critically analyze and evaluate authentic language data. Students will apply analytical methods in their analysis. Students will also have the opportunity to identify and reflect on language and media and language and identity. (Word limit: 1800-2300)
3	Group Presentation	1, 4, 5, 6, 7	20	Group work: Students will demonstrate their ability to apply their knowledge and analytical tools and report their findings in a succinct, organized, and professional manner in an oral presentation.
4	In-class Final Test	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	40	Individual: The final test will be designed to test students' facility with theoretical concepts and analytical skills from lectures and readings. It will also test students' application of knowledge.

Continuous Assessment (%)

100

Examination (%)

0

Assessment Rubrics (AR)

Assessment Task

Participation

Criterion

Attendance and active class participation

Excellent (A+, A, A-)

An excellent record of attendance and participation. Attended all classes punctually, always participated actively and constructively in class and group activities.

Good (B+, B, B-)

Satisfactory attendance and punctuality. Generally participated actively and constructively in class and group activities.

Fair (C+, C, C-)

Satisfactory attendance and punctuality. Frequently participated actively and constructively in class and group activities.

Marginal (D)

Attendance and punctuality not fully satisfactory and/or participation in class and group activities was inconsistent.

Failure (F)

Attendance and punctuality were unsatisfactory. Did not meaningfully engage with class and group activities.

Assessment Task

Group Project

Criterion

Written report on a topic that presents data collected and analyzed using a sociolinguistic perspective.

Excellent (A+, A, A-)

Able to analyze and present a sociolinguistic issue very clearly and insightfully, applying the theoretical framework, accurately giving evidence from data collected, accounting for successes and difficulties in communication, making excellent suggestions for improving the interaction and synthesizing points into a coherent argument expressed in clear and accurate English and within the word limit.

Good (B+, B, B-)

Able to analyze and present a sociolinguistic issue clearly, applying the theoretical framework fairly accurately giving evidence from data collected, accounting for successes and difficulties in communication, making good suggestions for improving the interaction and synthesizing points into a relatively coherent argument expressed in clear and mostly accurate English and within the word limit.

Fair (C+, C, C-)

There may be some inaccuracies in understanding the sociolinguistic issue under investigation. Sufficient evidence may not be provided. The paper may lack focus or be poorly argued. There may be problems with the organization or grammar of the paper. The paper may exceed the word limit.

Marginal (D)

There are serious flaws and/or inaccuracies in understanding the sociolinguistic issue under investigation. Sufficient evidence is not provided. The paper lacks focus or is poorly argued. There are serious problems with the organization or grammar of the paper. The paper is incomplete or incomprehensible due to a large number of language inaccuracies.

Failure (F)

Unable to apply the theories in an accurate way, present a coherent analysis and/or communicate in written English to an acceptable standard.

Assessment Task

Group Presentation

Criterion

Organization/Delivery/Grammar/Effectiveness/Timing of presentation

Excellent (A+, A, A-)

The presentation provides a very clear and insightful analysis. It draws effectively upon evidence from various types of data collected, accounting for successes and difficulties in communication, and synthesizing findings into a coherent argument.The presentation is very well organized, delivered in clear and accurate, fluent and idiomatic English, and within the time limit.

Good (B+, B, B-)

The presentation provides a clear analysis. It draws upon evidence from various types of data collected, accounting for successes and difficulties in communication, and synthesizing findings into an argument. The presentation is well organized, delivered in largely accurate, fluent and idiomatic English, and within the time limit.

Fair (C+, C, C-)

The presentation provides an adequate analysis. It draws upon some evidence from data collected, accounting for successes and difficulties in communication, and synthesizing findings into a coherent argument.The presentation is adequately organized and comprehensible, but the standard of the spoken English may need improvement. It may exceed the time limit.

Marginal (D)

The presentation provides a poor analysis. It exhibits insufficient evidence from data collected, and may lack an explanation for successes and difficulties in communication, or a coherent argument.The presentation is incomplete or partially incomprehensible due to poor organization or the standard of the spoken English.

Failure (F)

The presentation does not identify an issue relevant to the course.The presentation is incomplete or largely incomprehensible due to poor organization or significant problems in the standard of the spoken English.

Assessment Task

Final Test

Criterion

The final test will be marked according to the accuracy of the answers. Correct answers will be awarded full marks of the respective questions, half-correct answers will be awarded half of the marks devoted to the respective questions, and wrong or irrelevant answers will be awarded zero.

Part III Other Information

Keyword Syllabus

sociolinguistics, language variation and change, code-switching, speech community, language and computer-mediated communication, varieties of English, world Englishes, language and identity, language and gender

Reading List

Compulsory Readings

	Title
1	Holmes, J., & Wilson, N. (2017). An introduction to sociolinguistics (5th ed.). Routledge.

Additional Readings

	Title
1	Bauer, L. (2003). An introduction to international varieties of English. Edinburgh University Press.
2	Jenkins, J. (2015). Global Englishes: A resource book for students (3rd ed.). Routledge.
3	Setter, J., Wong, C.P., & Chan, B.H.S. (2002). Hong Kong English. Edinburgh University Press.