City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of English with effect from Semester A 2024/25

Part I Course Overv	view
Course Title:	Spoken Language Interactivity
Course Code:	EN5495
Course Duration:	1 semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P5
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses:	
(Course Code and Title) Exclusive Courses:	Nil
(Course Code and Title)	Nil

Part II Course Details

1. Abstract

(A 150-word description about the course)

This course approaches the study of English from the perspective of spoken language interactivity, which refers to the experience, linguistic features, discourse patterns, and dynamics of being, speaking and gesturing with others in different social and professional situations. We focus on the purposeful and meaningful situation of co-presence and how this relates with language as not only a system but a culturally-embedded activity.

Students will learn about theories, concepts, and techniques for discussing, analysing, and assessing spoken language interactivity in depth along with their different underlying theories of language and speaking. Students will explore diverse examples from a wide range of communicative and cultural contexts, including social, workplace, and classroom settings, as well as visual art screen-media content.

The course therefore challenges students to think about the conceptual and imaginative potential of their own spoken language interactivity for their future careers in educational, creative, or corporate sectors.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs	Weighting* (if applicable)	curricu learnir (please approp		lated omes where
1.	Describe, explain, and understand the major approaches to analyzing spoken language interactivity	25%	<i>A1</i> ✓	<i>A2</i> ✓	A3
2.	Apply these theoretical understandings to the analysis of real world spoken language interactivity in various settings, genres and media	25%	√		✓
3.	Analyze the experience, features, patterns, and dynamics of spoken lanaguge in interactivity with various concepts and tools	25%		√	√
4.	Demonstrate the potential of spoken language interactivity in different applied contexts based on understanding of different theories and findings.	25%	✓	√	✓
* If we	eighting is assigned to CILOs, they should add up to 100%.	100%			

A1: Attitude

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)

(TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA	Brief Description	CIL	CILO No.			Hours/week	
		1	2	3	4		(if applicable)
Lectures	Introduction of key concepts, approaches, and methods related to the study of spoken language interactivity	√	√	√	✓		
Assigned reading	Reading related to the special topic to help in consolidation and application of concepts	√	√	✓	√		
In-class activities, workshops and discussions	Collaborative sessions to stimulate critical interrogation and analysis of spoken language interactivity	✓	✓	✓	✓		

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)

(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.				Weighting*	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4			
Continuous Assessment: 100%							
Individual Assignment:	✓	✓	✓	✓		60%	
Students will complete one							
individual written assignment							
based on analysis of real							
spoken language interactivity							
materials relevant to							
understanding their own social							
or professional milieu							
Crown Oral Proportation	√	✓	√			200/	
Group Oral Presentation: Students will work in a group	•	•	•			30%	
to analyse an example of							
spoken language interactivity							
then make a group presentation							
in order to demonstrate their							
grasp of the concepts and							
methods relevant to this course							
Class participation: Students	✓	✓	✓	✓		10%	
are expected to contribute to							
in-class discussions and other							
learning activities							
		L			Ĺ		
Examination: 0% (duration:		, if	appli	icable	e)		

^{*} The weightings should add up to 100%.

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Marginal	Failure
Task		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B)	(B-, C+, C)	(F)
1. Individual Assignment (60%)	Content, language and style	 The topic is extremely well-presented and analysed; All relevant information is excellently covered; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is completely achieved; Style and tone are highly appropriate 	 The topic is competently presented and very well analysed; The information is sufficiently covered; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is achieved; Style and tone are appropriate 	The topic is reasonably /sketchily presented and inadequately analysed; Only part of/limited information is included; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is partially/not fully achieved at all; Style and tone somewhat inappropriate	 The topic is highly inadequate in its presentation and is very badly analysed; Very limited or inaccurate information is included; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is not achieved in any way; Style and tone are completely inappropriate
2. Group Oral Presentation (30%)	Content, language and style	 The topic is extremely well-presented and analysed; All relevant information is excellently covered; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is completely achieved; Style and tone are highly appropriate 	 The topic is competently presented and very well analysed; The information is sufficiently covered; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is achieved; Style and tone are appropriate 	 The topic is reasonably /sketchily presented and inadequately analysed; Only part of/limited information is included; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is partially/not fully achieved at all; Style and tone are somewhat inappropriate 	 The topic is highly inadequate in its presentation and is very badly analysed; Very limited or inaccurate information is included; The purpose of analysing and presenting the material is not achieved in any way; Style and tone are completely inappropriate
3. Class Participation (10%)	Engagement and participation	Student is highly engaged in class/group activities and discussions, and extremely pro-active in offering insightful views on topics covered	Student is engaged in class/group activities and discussions, and active in contributing views on topics covered	Student participates in some/few learning activities, and offers views on topics covered occasionally	Fails to participate in class activities

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Speech, Conversation, Talk, Dialogue, Gesture, Prosody, Embodied communication, Social interaction

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	McNeill, D. (1992). Hand and Mind. What gestures reveal about thought. Chicago University
	Press. (excerpts)
2.	Kendon, A. (2004). Gesture: Visible Action as Utterance. Cambridge University Press.
3.	Thibault, P.J. (2021). Distributed languaging, affective dynamics, and the human ecology. Vol.
	1: The sense-making body. London: Routledge. (excerpts)
4.	Harrison, S. (2018). The impulse to gesture: Where language, bodies, and minds intersect.
	Cambridge University Press. (excerpts)
5.	Shi, S. (2020). Multimodality and classroom languaging dynamics: an ecosocial semiotic
	perspective in Asian contexts. Routledge. (excerpts)
6.	De Jaegher, H. (2021). Loving and knowing: reflections for an engaged epistemology. <i>Phenom</i>
	Cogn Sci 20, 847–870.

2.2 Additional Readings

(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	Goffman, E. (1981). Forms of Talk. University of Pennsylvania Press.
2.	Matoesian, G. and Gilbert, K. E. (2018) Multimodal conduct in the law: Language, gesture,
	and materiality in legal interaction. Cambridge University Press.
3.	Streeck, J. (2017). Self-making man. A day of action, life, and language. Cambridge University
	Press.
4.	Di Paolo, E., Cuffari, C., & De Jaegher, H. (2018). Linguistic bodies: The continuity between
	life and language. MIT Press.
5.	Ingold, T. (2022/2011). Being alive. Essays on movement, knowledge, and description.
	Routledge
6.	Ingold, T. (2021). Correspondences. Wiley.