City University of Hong Kong Course Syllabus

offered by Department of English with effect from Semester A 2017/18

Part I Course Over	view
Course Title:	Discourse Analysis
Course Code:	EN5491
Course Duration:	1 semester
Credit Units:	3
Level:	P5
Proposed Area: (for GE courses only)	☐ Arts and Humanities ☐ Study of Societies, Social and Business Organisations ☐ Science and Technology
Medium of Instruction:	English
Medium of Assessment:	English
Prerequisites: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Precursors: (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Equivalent Courses : (Course Code and Title)	Nil
Exclusive Courses: (Course Code and Title)	Nil

Part II **Course Details**

1. **Abstract**

(A 150-word description about the course)

This course provides participants with the opportunity to analyse various properties of English texts and conversation and how such properties are implicated by the nature of the English language and various exigencies. They also develop meta-language and analytical skills that can be used to analyse genres and multimodal texts/interactions.

2. **Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)**

(CILOs state what the student is expected to be able to do at the end of the course according to a given standard of performance.)

No.	CILOs#	Weighting* (if	curricu	ery-eni lum re	lated
		applicable)		g outco tick riate)	
			A1	A2	A3
1.	a. outline a set of concepts and theories relating to discourse studies (e.g., discourse, genres, genre theory, register, coherence, cohesive devices, multi-modality in modern texts, thematic progression, rhetorical structures, etc.);	50%	√		
	b. make use of some established meta-language when describing meanings of the concepts and the theories.				
2.	 a. by applying the concepts, theories and meta-language listed in CILO 1, identify, describe and interpret different textual phenomena characteristic of specific genres (e.g., film reviews, works of literature, science reports, recipes, etc.); b. analyse and apply some established procedures when performing the type of analysis described in 2a. 	10%		√	√
3.	 a. describe and critically evaluate the discourse approach to the analysis of texts and interactions; b. by drawing on the concepts, theories, and approaches learned in CILOs 1-3a, present a critical analysis of a spoken interaction. 	20%	~		
4.	 a. apply the knowledge gained from CILOs 1-3 to identify, describe, interpret and evaluate the characteristics of English texts and conversations; b. propose implications for the research and teaching of discourse based on your research findings. 	10%		√	√
	eighting is assigned to CILOs, they should add up to 100%.	100%		<u>I</u>	1

If weighting is assigned to CILOs, they should add up to 100%.

Develop an attitude of discovery/innovation/creativity, as demonstrated by students possessing a strong sense of curiosity, asking questions actively, challenging assumptions or engaging in inquiry together with teachers.

A2: Ability

Develop the ability/skill needed to discover/innovate/create, as demonstrated by students possessing

[#] Please specify the alignment of CILOs to the Gateway Education Programme Intended Learning outcomes (PILOs) in Section A of Annex.

critical thinking skills to assess ideas, acquiring research skills, synthesizing knowledge across disciplines or applying academic knowledge to self-life problems.

A3: Accomplishments

Demonstrate accomplishment of discovery/innovation/creativity through producing /constructing creative works/new artefacts, effective solutions to real-life problems or new processes.

3. Teaching and Learning Activities (TLAs)

(TLAs designed to facilitate students' achievement of the CILOs.)

TLA Brief Description		CILO	O No.		Hours/week (if		
	•	1	2	3	4		applicable)
Interactive lectures, guided exploratory tasks, guided reading tasks	Students will learn to describe and analyse the set of concepts and theories through a) interactive lectures delivered by the instructor; b) guided exploratory tasks that involve the use of authentic text materials; and c) out-of-class guided reading tasks. Students will also be provided with short revision tasks (e.g., T/F, fill-in-blanks, and short questions) to help them recall and describe the concepts and theories learned.	•					9 weeks
Interactive lectures	Through interactive lectures delivered by the instructor, students will be shown the different steps of text analysis which involves identifying, describing and interpreting the characterizing textual phenomena in a variety of authentic text materials. They will also be assigned in-class tasks to apply the steps of analysis.		✓				1 week
Interactive lectures, in-class exploratory tasks, in-class discussions	Students will learn to describe and critically evaluate the discourse approach to the critical analysis of texts and conversations through interactive lectures and participating in in-class exploratory tasks. They will also be guided to discuss how the knowledge and insights gained from the activities can have implications for discourse studies research and pedagogy;			√	✓		3 weeks

Students will be led through in-class discussions to apply what they have learned in the previous tasks to describe, identify, interpret and evaluate the characteristic features of English texts and conversations. They will be also guided to make suggestions to improve research and pedagogy in discourse studies.				

4. Assessment Tasks/Activities (ATs)
(ATs are designed to assess how well the students achieve the CILOs.)

Assessment Tasks/Activities	CILO No.						Weighting*	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4					
Continuous Assessment: 100%									
Text analysis project	✓	✓	✓				70%		
Students will analyze (i.e.,									
identify, describe and interpret)									
discourse features of a									
self-chosen text or									
conversation. To carry out the									
analysis, they will be required									
to draw on the various concepts,									
theories and meta-language									
learned as described in CILO1									
and follow the methods and									
procedures developed as in									
described in CILO2.									
Based on the results of the									
analysis, students will also									
engage in a critical evaluation									
of the discourse approach to									
analysis of texts and									
interactions. In doing so,									
students will need to draw on									
the principles, theories									
described in CILO3 and									
CILO4, and also the insights									
gained in the Learning									
Activities listed for CILOs 3									
and 4.									
Students will be required to									
produce a 2000-3000 word									
paper to report and discuss the									
analysis carried out in the									
project. In so doing, they will									
need to apply the concepts,									
theories, approaches and									

1 1 1 C CT C				1		l		T
metalanguage listed for CILOs								
1-4.								
Spoken-text analysis group		\checkmark	✓	✓			30%	
presentation								
Students will analyze (i.e., to								
identify, describe and interpret)								
discourse features of a								
self-chosen spoken text or								
-								
conversation. To carry out the								
analysis, they will be required								
to draw on the various concepts,								
theories and meta-language								
learned as described in CILO1								
and follow the methods and								
procedures developed as in								
described in CILO2.								
Based on the results of the								
analysis, students will also								
engage in a critical analysis of								
research and pedagogy in								
discourse studies. In doing so,								
_								
students will need to draw on								
the principles, theories								
described in CILO3 and								
CILO4, and also the insights								
gained in the Learning								
Activities listed for CILOs 3								
and 4.								
Students will be required to								
collaborate in groups to produce								
a 20-minute talk to report and								
discuss the analysis carried out								
in the project. In so doing, they								
will need to apply the concepts,								
theories, approaches and								
metalanguage listed for CILOs								
1-4.								
Examination:% (duration:		,	if ap	plica	ble)			

* The weightings should add up to 100%.

100%

5. Assessment Rubrics

(Grading of student achievements is based on student performance in assessment tasks/activities with the following rubrics.)

Assessment Task	Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Marginal	Failure
		(A+, A, A-)	(B+, B, B-)	(C+, C, C-)	(D)	(F)
Text Analysis	Content and style	Shows full	• The main	The concepts	• The concepts	 The concepts
Project		understanding of	concepts are	selected for analysis	selected for analysis	selected for analysis
		main concepts and	competently	are sufficient, and	are sketchy and	are highly inadequate;
		their application;	discussed and	partially applied;	inadequate;	 Very limited or
		 All relevant 	applied;	 Only partial 	 Incomplete 	inaccurate information
		information is	• The	information is	information is	is incorporated in
		included in	information included	included in	included in discussion	conceptual analysis;
		discussion and	in discussion and	discussion and	and analysis of	 The analysis is
		analysis;	analysis of concepts	analysis of	concepts;	not at all
		• The topic is	is sufficient;	concepts;	• The analysis is	comprehensible;
		comprehensively	• The topic is	 Only partial 	not informative or	• The purpose of
		analyzed and	sufficiently analyzed	analysis is	comprehensive;	the conceptual
		explained;	and explained;	provided;	• The purpose of	analysis is not
		• The purpose of	• The purpose of	• The purpose	the conceptual	achieved in any way;
		the analysis of	the conceptual	of the conceptual	analysis is not	 Style and tone
		concepts is	analysis is achieved;	analysis is partially	adequately achieved;	are completely
		completely achieved;	 Style and tone 	achieved;	 Style and tone 	inappropriate
		 Style and tone 	are appropriate	• Style and tone	are inappropriate	
		are highly appropriate		are somewhat		
				appropriate		

Part III Other Information (more details can be provided separately in the teaching plan)

1. Keyword Syllabus

(An indication of the key topics of the course.)

Theoretical traditions: ESP, Systemic Functional Linguistics, Pragmatics, Genre Analysis, Critical Discourse Analysis, Corpus Linguistics

Key concepts/theories:

Discourse: discourse, genres, critical discourse analysis, corpus approaches

Coherence: coherence, cohesion, cohesive devices,

Register: register, lexico-grammatical features

Information organization: themes, rhemes, thematic progression

Pragmatic theory: Cooperative principle, implicature, politeness

2. Reading List

2.1 Compulsory Readings

(Compulsory readings can include books, book chapters, or journal/magazine articles. There are also collections of e-books, e-journals available from the CityU Library.)

1.	Carrell, P.L. (1982). Cohesion is not coherence. TESOL Quarterly, 16, 479-488.
2.	Er, E. (2001). Text analysis and diagnostic assessment. In A. Burns & C. Coffin (eds.), Analysing English in a global context: A reader, pp.229-239. London, U.K.: Routledge.
3.	Flowerdew, J. (2013). Discourse in English Language Education. London: Routledge.
4.	Halliday, M.A.K. & Hasan, R. (1976). Cohesion in English. London: Longman.
5.	Hyland, K. (2004). <i>Genre and second language writing</i> . (Chapters 5 and 7). Ann Arbor: University of Michigan.
6.	Lee, I. (1998). Enhancing ESL students' awareness of coherence-creating mechanisms in writing. TESL Canada Journal, 15(2), 36-49.
7.	Martin, J. R., Matthiessen, C.M.I.M. & Painter, C. (1997). Working with functional grammar. London: Arnold.
8.	McCarthy, M. (1991). Discourse analysis for language teachers. Chapter 2. Cambridge: CUP.
9.	McCarthy, M. (2001). Discourse. In R. Carter & D. Nunan (Eds.), The Cambridge Guide to Teaching English to speakers of other languages (pp. 49-55). Cambridge: CUP.

10.	Nunan, D. (1993). Introducing discourse analysis. Chapter 1. London: Penguin.
11.	Painter, C. (2001). Understanding genre and register: Implications for language t eaching. In A. Burns & C. Coffin (Eds.), Analyzing English in a global context, pp.167-180. London: Routledge.
12.	Tardy, C. (2006). Researching first and second language genre learning: A comparative review and a look ahead. <i>Journal of Second Language Writing</i> , 15, 79-101.

2.2 Additional Readings(Additional references for students to learn to expand their knowledge about the subject.)

1.	
2.	
3.	
•••	